1) Call to Order
   a. Chair Shriver called the meeting to order at 5:02pm
2) Call to Roll
3) Approval of the previous minutes
   a. The previous minutes have been approved unanimously.
4) Public Comment Period
   a. No one is present for the public comment period.
5) Presentation from Board of Elections
   a. Purpose of the presentation is to clarify roles, resources, needs of the BoE, etc.
      i. Graduate, Treasurer of BoE
      ii. Undergraduate Appointee, Chair of BoE for 2 years
      iii. Grad member, Vice Chair
           1. Missing 2 undergraduate appointees, grad appointee
   b. Summary of BoE past Fall election
      i. 1200 people voted, no major or minor issues, 8 senators elected, Mr./Miss UNC elections continued as normal
   c. Questions
      i. The VP of the GPSF asks: why was there no notification of the details of this election?
         1. There was supposed to be a geography special election, and no details were sent out with regards to the situation. This practice has been followed before, and although it is not codified,
         2. Responsibilities have been altered slightly member-to-member of the BoE, and the sixth member was not approved until after the election, giving some minor complications.
      ii. Speaker of the UGS asks: the initial election was solely to elect members of the homecoming court, and it is listed in the UG code (pg 64, G and H) for two other elections that are distinct from this election.
         1. There should only be two election dates according to the understanding of the BoE; the existence of the runoff election needs to be codified in the joint code, which does not specify it.
         2. UGS interpretation is that elections that pertain solely to the UG should reference the UG code, not the joint code for elections.
            a. Should the fall general election be held 8 days before homecoming, or on the runoff date?
         3. BoE interpretation is that the UG code has no power because that is the joint code, despite the fact that only undergraduates can run for Miss/Mr. UNC.
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a. IF a runoff is necessary, then it occurs the day before homecoming.
b. The general election (including the UGS) must occur 8 days before the homecoming game, in which however many people can run for homecoming court; the day before the homecoming game is the runoff date during which the Miss/Mr. UNC is elected.
c. G directly contradicts A, the document for the UG code is contradictory.
   i. BoE suggests striking G, and using the code of A.

iii. What is the purpose of the spring election? Who is getting elected?
   1. BoE: to elect/re-elect every seat in the UGS, and elect the GPSF and UG VPs and Presidents
      a. Turnout tends to be higher in the spring; more publicity, is a presidential election, and many departments have elections in the spring (although this trend has seen some changes recently).
      b. To contextualize the importance: Fall is for open seats in UGS and for Mr./Miss UNC; only open seats are elected, not all seats in the UGS

iv. GPSF VP asks: Have you followed the code this year? If not, or if there are any shortcomings, what resources are needed?
   1. The code is contradictory, so it is impossible to follow to the letter.
      a. When changing the chapter numbers, the internal numbers were never changed, so references are mistaken throughout the entire code; this has complicated the process.
         i. All of the internal references are wrong.
   2. Responsibilities code section: BoE argues that it has followed the code.
      a. Item 11 violation
         i. GPSF has not been properly accommodated; because it is not a special election, but a general election, so they deserve the same publicity as the UG elections.
            1. The Heef Life page did not advertise the GPSF election, only the UG election.
               a. BoE did advertise on Facebook; the BoE does not have the ability to reach out to everyone.
            2. BoE: there is no budget, despite the fact that it is codified that we must pay people back.
            3. GPSF candidates on ballots were not made aware of the same things as the UGS.
   v. The SL&L has not contributed at all; the director has only provided access to
Heel Life.

1. Massive gaping holes in several documents, the frequency of the elections, and the reality that the BoE was understaffed have all contributed to the shortcomings of the expectation.

vi. No one has edited this document this year.
   1. The BoE and Chair Shriver discussed these issues earlier this year.

vii. UG Speaker asks that if the BoE needs anything, please reach out. The lack of communication cannot happen again. This document needs to be solved.
   1. If there are issues in the code, the JGC is willing and glad to put together the resources needed to fix it.
   2. BoE response:
      a. For it to have been so important to UGS, there was a significant lack of undergraduate support. The appointment was extremely late.
      b. The first time the BoE is hearing of these complaints is not through email, but by being confronted by the JGC.

viii. There is general miscommunication; more representation on both sides seems like the best way to address this situation and the conflicts. Having someone sit on this council from the BoE might be the best solution, and would fix communication issues.
   1. With this in mind, there are set goals we have moving forward: addressing the code, giving the BoE the resources they need, and solving the communication issue.
      a. VP GPSF believes that this is not necessary as a permanent seat, but only necessary for relevant meetings.
      b. Technically, it is unconstitutional for a BoE member to hold a seat, but to have someone here would be extremely helpful.
      c. A new system for contacting undergrad members is necessary, as are more resources for advertisement for elections.

ix. How many BoE members are needed?
   1. At least a core group of six; sometimes scheduling
      a. A support staff during the elections would allow the time to run more smoothly, especially concerning advertisement.
   2. Is everyone pulling their weight?
      a. This is an internal conversation that will be held within the BoE at a later date, and will be communicated shortly.

x. A working list of resources for the student government to pass.
   1. Is it possible to gather a short-term working group and get the work done before the spring elections?
      a. Punctuality of the work would fall short, due to complications within the GPSF scheduling.

xi. Minor comments: the code was changed, so the cutoff time is 8pm, not
noon, to better suit the BoE’s needs.
xii. Final notes: the elections have different ending times, and that is extremely
difficult for the BoE to handle, almost to the point of impossibility. This
needs to be rectified.

6) Receipt of Petitions and Messages
   a. Student Body President / Undergraduate Student Body President
      i. There are no messages.
   b. Graduate and Professional Student Federation President
      i. Opinions were voiced concerning Silent Sam and student fees.
         2. The building fee; conversations between the UG President and GPSF
            president occurred finalizing this issue.
         3. The Tarheel Thanksgiving has 500 students registered, and
            registration is still open.
   c. Undergraduate Student Body Treasurer
      i. General Updates
         1. SFAC and SFAS finished taking recommendations from TFAT
            a. UNC Chapel Hill has some of the lowest fees; however, this
               is not including other relevant costs of attending the school.
            b. Topics introduced to the TFAT meeting when student voice
               was not playing a role; SFAC and SFAS were made to seem
               as though they were courtesies, not integral parts of the
               system.
            c. Child care fees were not able to be addressed, due to the
               issues and complications present in the policy for the mental
               health fee.
         2. Mental health fee was unanimously approved by SFAC and SFAS;
            TFAT has decided to use other funds to cover the Mental Health
            Building, but this process has been paused, and conversation
            regarding this is ongoing.
            a. The fee was unanimously passed by SFAC and SFAS, but
               conflicts between these organizations and TFAT during the
               meeting have led to confusion over the importance of SFAC
               and SFAS action in the eyes of TFAT.
            b. A large portion of this was raised by past student fees, meant
               to go toward the Mental Health building; the reallocation of
               funding is not ideal, which is why the conversations are
               ongoing.
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i. The recommendations by TFAT will most likely go through to the BoT.

3. Student voice must play a heavier role in future conversations, and will be followed up on in the future.
   a. The reason this was not brought up until the TFAT meeting is because “Unit Y” student fees have been handled a certain way in the past, but new leadership brought about a conversation concerning the fees.

4. ATF has done a great job gathering signatures for the affordability of textbooks for a pledge.

5. Student organizations will be “reviewed” rather than “audited” in the future.

6. If anyone wants further information regarding the presentation at the TFAT, SFAS and SFAC meeting, as the UG Treasurer.
   d. Graduate and Professional Student Federation Treasurer
      i. Nothing different from the UG Treasurer’s updates.

7) Reports of the Senate
   a. Undergraduate Senate
      i. Passed the Dr. Ford resolution, approved stipends for the UG attorney generals during the summer time. Approved 3 appointments.
   b. Graduate and Professional Student Senate
      i. Dr. Ford resolution passed, appropriated $5,000 for organizations, and travel awards will be given out in the near future. Guest speaker was unable to attend.

8) Introduction of Senate Business
   a. Resolution from GPSF: 49-012
      i. There was legitimately no debate about it, communication was extremely clear.
         1. Passed unanimously.
         2. This needs signatures, will be printed tomorrow and put in everyone’s boxes.

9) Informal Business Discussion
   a. Naming of Student Government Suite
      i. Kenneth, Manny, and Luke have been working on this; Crystal King and the Union have helped; the board outside of the suite will be dedicated to Vice Chancellor Crisp, and will be complete shortly.
1. Will be meeting with the naming committee to name the suite in honor of Crisp, this will be a longer process.
   
b. Silent Sam, BOT Meeting Nov. 15th
   
i. The university asked for an extension on the deadline.

10) Notices and Announcements
   a. At the end of last time we met, the situation with the student organization taking funding from both the GPSF and UG has been resolved.
   
i. This must never happen again, and communication between the two student governments would be a great way to do so.

b. The meeting will be moved to Monday (11/12/18), instead of Wednesday.

c. Is there any way to codify the Honor Court in a way that is more conducive to JGC’s understanding of its role? Future issue to tackle, heavy with complexity.

11) Adjournment